

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 United States of America,) CR-03-974-PHX-DGC
10 Plaintiff,) CV-08-77-PHX-DGC (CRP)
11 vs.) **ORDER**
12 Neil Rusty Bond,)
13 Defendant/Movant.)
14 _____)

15 Defendant Neil Bond was convicted by a jury of theft of government property and
16 social security fraud on May 11, 2005. Doc. 114, CR-03-974. He was sentenced to
17 18-months' imprisonment and ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$88,800.70.
18 Doc. 197. The Ninth Circuit affirmed, 227 Fed. Appx. 578 (9th Cir. Mar. 21, 2007), and the
19 Supreme Court denied review, 552 U.S. 856 (Oct. 1, 2007). Following receipt of the Court
20 of Appeals' mandate (Doc. 255), this Court ordered Defendant to begin serving his sentence
21 on January 17, 2008. Doc. 256. Defendant has served his prison sentence but remains on
22 supervised release.

23 Two days before his surrender date, Defendant filed a motion to vacate his sentence
24 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Doc. 272, CR-03-974; Doc. 1, CV-08-077. Defendant filed
25 an amended motion on May 9, 2008. Doc. 303, CR-03-974. That motion asserts seven
26 grounds for relief: violation of due process, lack of subject matter jurisdiction, ineffective
27 assistance of counsel, plain error, abuse of discretion, prosecutorial misconduct, and a
28 violation of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). *Id.* at 5-18.

1 On June 25, 2010, the Court issued an order accepting the Magistrate Judge's
2 recommendations (Docs. 51, 83, 187) that Defendant's amended motion to vacate sentence
3 be granted in part and dismissed in part. Doc. 196, CV-08-077; *see* Doc. 314-1, CR-03-974.
4 The Clerk entered judgment the same day. Doc. 197, CV-08-077; Doc. 314, CR-03-974.
5 In its June 25 order, the Court denied a certificate of appealability and leave to proceed in
6 forma pauperis. Doc. 196 at 6. The Court denied a certificate of appealability on the ground
7 that, with respect to the dismissed claims (grounds one, six, and seven), Defendant has not
8 made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. *See* 28 U.S.C. §
9 2253(c)(2).

10 Having reconsidered the issue of leave to proceed in forma pauperis, the Court will
11 vacate its prior denial of such status on appeal. Doc. 196 at 6, ¶ 5. The Court does not, on
12 the present record, find that the appeal will not be taken in good faith or that Defendant is not
13 otherwise entitled to proceed in forma pauperis. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3)(A); *see also*
14 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); *Gardner v. Pogue*, 558 F.2d 548, 551 (9th Cir. 1977) ("The good
15 faith requirement is satisfied if the petitioner seeks review of any issue that is 'not
16 frivolous.'").

17 Defendant has filed an unopposed motion to extend the time to file a notice of appeal
18 from the June 25, 2010 order and judgment, to file a motion for a certificate of appealability,
19 and to file a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Doc. 199. Defendant requests
20 an extension to August 27, 2010. The Court, for good cause appearing, will grant the motion
21 in part. The Court will extend the filing deadlines to **August 24, 2010**. *See* Fed. R. App. P.
22 4(a)(5)(C) (providing limits on the length of extensions to file a notice of appeal).

23 In addressing prejudice under *Strickland*'s two-part test for ineffective assistance of
24 counsel claims, the Magistrate Judge found a restitution amount of \$30,929.16 to the extent
25 the extended period of eligibility was considered. Doc. 187 at 39 & n.3. The government
26 argued at the July 14, 2010 status hearing (Doc. 198) that Defendant should be bound by that
27 restitution amount in connection with he resentencing. Defendant took the position that he
28 should be allowed, through effective assistance of counsel and new accounting analysis, to

1 establish the appropriate amount of restitution. Defendant also noted that the Magistrate
2 Judge recommends that Defendant's supervised release be vacated. *See* Doc. 187 at 42.
3 Neither side addressed the issue of supervised release or the appropriate amount of restitution
4 in responding to the Magistrate Judge's recommendations (Doc. 187). *See* Docs. 190, 193.

5 The Court will set Defendant's resentencing for **November 1, 2010 at 4:30 p.m.** The
6 Court will address the restitution amount and supervised release issues at the resentencing.
7 The parties shall brief those issues, including any new accounting analyses, prior to the
8 resentencing. Each side shall file a memorandum by **September 27, 2010**. Response
9 memoranda shall be filed by **October 18, 2010**.

10 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 11 1. The Court's denial of leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 196 at 6, ¶ 5)
12 is **vacated**.
- 13 2. Defendant's unopposed motion to extend time (Doc. 199) is **granted in part**.
- 14 3. Defendant shall have until **August 24, 2010** to file a notice of appeal, a motion
15 for certificate of appealability, a motion to proceed in forma pauperis.
- 16 4. Defendant's resentencing is set for **November 1, 2010 at 4:30 p.m.**
- 17 5. The parties shall brief resentencing issues as outlined above.

18 DATED this 21st day of July, 2010.

19
20
21 

22 _____
23 David G. Campbell
24 United States District Judge
25
26
27
28